

MOODY'S

INVESTORS SERVICE

New Issue: Moody's assigns Aa1 to University of Minnesota's \$10M Series 2015B GO Bds; outlook stable

Global Credit Research - 03 Aug 2015

\$1.4B rated debt (including full CP and University Gateway Corp.)

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MN
Public Colleges & Universities
MN

Moody's Rating

ISSUE

RATING

General Obligation Taxable Bonds, Series 2015B

Aa1

Sale Amount \$10,055,000

Expected Sale Date 08/11/15

Rating Description Revenue: Public University Broad Pledge

Moody's Outlook

NEW YORK, August 03, 2015 --Moody's Investors Service assigns a Aa1 rating to the University of Minnesota's Taxable General Obligation Bonds, Series 2015B. The rating outlook is stable.

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The Aa1 rating reflects University of Minnesota's (UM) strong student and research market positions and ample financial resources and liquidity. Although state operating support has been modest in recent years, the university receives good capital support in the form of debt service on \$333 million of its debt.

These are offset by weakening operating performance and expected additional debt issuance.

OUTLOOK

The stable rating outlook reflects continued favorable student demand and research trends, growing tuition revenues, stable to improving operating cash flow and debt service coverage despite additional debt plans.

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO UP

- Substantial growth in balance sheet reserves combined with sustained, notable improvement in operating performance.

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO DOWN

- Failure to improve operations and cash flow
- Additional debt increase without improved operations

STRENGTHS

- Strong market position as state's flagship research and land grant university and Big Ten member
- Large research university with total research awards of \$741 million for fiscal year (FY) 2014
- Ample balance sheet reserves with unrestricted monthly liquidity of \$1.5 billion or 176 days.

- Strong fundraising despite no formal campaign underway, with \$282 million of FY 2014 gift revenues
- Ample university self-liquidity supporting the P-1 commercial paper rating

CHALLENGES

- Weaker operations and cash flow in FY 2014 with a 5.6% operating cash flow margin due to several non-recurring expenses, with performance expected to improve in FY2015
- Ongoing capital and debt plans of up to \$150 million through FY 2017
- Challenges to grow research funding, important with the addition of four research buildings

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Recent developments are included in DETAILED RATING RATIONALE.

DETAILED RATING RATIONALE

MARKET POSITION: STRONG STUDENT DEMAND AND MAJOR RESEARCH ROLE

The University of Minnesota will maintain a strong market position driven by student demand for the state's flagship and land grant institution and Big 10 conference member. Fall 2014 enrollment was 60,950 FTEs, with 29% non-resident and international students. Growth of enrollment at the Twin Cities campus has been limited, but the university intends to modestly expand its enrollment in the STEM (science, technology, engineering and mathematics) academic areas.

UM is one of the nation's leading research universities, with \$741 million of research awards in FY 2014. Research activity will grow as its Minneapolis biomedical research facilities open or are completed through 2016. The State Legislature enacted legislation providing state support for debt service on 75%, or about \$220 million, of a total \$292 million of the facilities. The state funding provides UM time to recruit researchers for the facilities and grow its research activities and indirect cost recovery to pay for the facilities' operating costs.

OPERATING PERFORMANCE, BALANCE SHEET, AND CAPITAL PLANS: WEAKER CASH FLOW GENERATION, WITH WELL-DIVERSIFIED REVENUE BASE; GROWING FINANCIAL RESERVES AND LIQUIDITY SUPPORT DEBT AND OPERATIONS

The University of Minnesota is expected to generate balanced, but not strong, operating performance and cash flow generation in FY 2015. For FY 2014, operating performance weakened, with the cash flow margin declining to 5.6% from 8.9% in FY 2013, which itself was down from over 10% the prior two years. However, the cash flow margin improves to 7.6% in FY 2014 when excluding non-recurring expenses. The university expects FY 2015 cash flow to be at least comparable if not improved.

Revenue sources are diverse, contributing to operating stability. But revenue growth will be modest. Although the state provided UM additional state operating appropriations (approximately 20% of total operation revenue) in FY 2014 and FY 2015, the increases were tied to a university commitment to hold undergraduate in-state tuition flat for those years. For the FY 2016-2017 biennium, the state approved a \$26.6 million increase in state operating appropriations for FY 2016, with no additional increase in FY 2017. The board approved only a 1.5% tuition increase for fall 2015 (FY 2016) for resident undergraduate students. Both will constrain cash flow growth.

The State of Minnesota is rated Aa1 with a stable outlook for its general obligation bonds. For more information, see Moody's report of July 31, 2015.

UM's balance sheet profile will remain strong, helping support the current debt issuance. For FY 2014, total resources of \$4.3 billion included \$2.2 billion of foundation resources. Expendable resources of \$3.0 billion cushion total pro-forma debt a sound 2.2 times. Total debt includes \$51 million of University Gateway Corporation (UGC) debt and \$301 million of Special Purpose debt paid by the State of Minnesota and secured by a pledge of specified debt service transfers to university from a standing state general fund appropriation.

However, the university has significant debt plans over the next two to three years, currently considering issuing up to \$329 million of debt over the current FY 2016 through FY 2018.

Projects include \$100 million to fund construction of its Combined Heat and Power plant (CHP), about \$44 million to fund its one-third share of state-approved capital requests, and \$66 million in land purchases. An additional

project is the Athletic Village for athletic facilities. The borrowings are subject to construction timing, gifts and UM's use of its own reserves for the projects. The debt plans are substantial, particularly in light of thin cash flow. Moody's will assess the impact of new debt issuance in light of operations and project funding sources.

Fundraising is strong, with rising gift revenue even though the university is not conducting a university-wide campaign. For FY 2014, annual gift revenues were \$282 million, the highest in at least five years. UM reports record fundraising for the recently completed FY 2015. The university is planning for a comprehensive campaign but the timing and goals have not yet been finalized.

Liquidity

Unrestricted liquidity is ample at \$1.46 billion, translating to 176 days. Unrestricted liquidity provides a strong 489% cushion to demand debt, including UGC's bonds.

The university's endowment is managed by its Office of Investments and Banking in conjunction with external managers. The portfolio allocation is favorably diversified, with 23% in global equities, 25% in marketable alternatives, 11% in real assets, 22% in private equity and 19% in bonds and cash.

DEBT STRUCTURE AND LEGAL COVENANTS

Debt Structure

Included in UM's total debt are \$272 million of Special Purpose Revenue Bonds issued by the university, supported by a standing appropriation from the state, subject to the governor's unallotment authority, for full payment of debt service. Also included is \$51 million of University Gateway Corporation (rated Aa1 based on a guarantee of the University of Minnesota Foundation). Currently UM's only variable rate debt is its commercial paper (Series A, B, C, D and current E) with pro forma \$233 million outstanding. UGC has three variable rate bonds with the tender feature supported by a Standby Bond Purchase Agreement from Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (rated Aa1/P-1) expiring on 8/31/2016. For more information on UGC, see Moody's report dated February 2, 2015

Debt-Related Derivatives

UM has one \$70 million notional interest rate swap with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (rated Aa3/P-1) maturing in August 2017 and with a negative \$7.2 million fair market value at June 30, 2015. No collateral posting is required unless UM's rating is below Baa2 by Moody's and BBB by Standard and Poor's.

Pensions and OPEB

UM's retirement benefits are currently manageable at about 4% of total expenses for FY 2014. University of Minnesota's faculty and staff receive retirement benefits through a number of plans provided by the federal government, the state and by the university. Most of the UM's employees participate in the State Employee's Retirement Fund (SERF), a defined benefit plan with equal contributions by both the university and employees. For FY 2014, UM contributed \$20 million to SERF. The university also contributes to a number of defined contribution plan, the large the Faculty Retirement Plan, to which UM contributed \$99 million in FY 2014. The university also provides retiree healthcare benefits, funding on a pay-as-you-go basis and making a \$5 million contribution in FY 2014.

GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT

University of Minnesota's Board of Regents and leadership team display good fiscal oversight reflected in the strengthened unrestricted liquidity and balance sheet reserves. The leadership team has good tenure diversity between long-serving and recently appointed management. Board members are elected biennially to six year terms.

KEY STATISTICS (FY 2014 financial data, fall 2014 enrollment data)

- Full-Time Equivalent Enrollment: 60,950 students
- Total Financial Resources: \$4.3 billion
- Total Cash & Investments: \$2.3 billion (university only)
- Total Pro-forma Direct Debt: \$1.37 billion

- Total Operating Revenue: \$3.2 billion
- Reliance on Tuition & Auxiliaries Revenue (% of Moody's Adjusted Operating Revenue): 35%
- Reliance on State Appropriations Revenue (% of Moody's Adjusted Operating Revenue): 19%
- Monthly Days Cash on Hand: 176 days
- Operating Cash Flow Margin: 5.6%
- Three-Year Average Debt Service Coverage: 2.3 times
- State of Minnesota GO Rating: Aa1, stable outlook

OBLIGOR PROFILE

University of Minnesota, founded in 1851, has a national market position as the state's flagship and land grant university and a Big 10 conference member. One of the nation's largest research universities, total revenues exceed \$3 billion and enrollment was over 60,000 FTE students for fall 2014 at its Twin Cities, Duluth, Crookston, and Rochester campuses.

LEGAL SECURITY

All General Obligation bonds and commercial paper are unconditional, direct and general obligations of the university. There are no debt service reserve funds for the bonds.

USE OF PROCEEDS

Proceeds of the Series 2015B bonds will be used to fund the predesign and design of improved health education and clinical research facilities on the Twin Cities campus and pay issuance costs.

RATING METHODOLOGY

The principal methodology used in this rating was U.S. Not-for-Profit Private and Public Higher Education published in August 2011. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moody.com for a copy of this methodology.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moody.com.

The following information supplements Disclosure 10 ("Information Relating to Conflicts of Interest as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(J) of SEC Rule 17g-7") in the regulatory disclosures made at the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody.com for each credit rating:

Moody's was not paid for services other than determining a credit rating in the most recently ended fiscal year by the person that paid Moody's to determine this credit rating.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see www.moody.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody.com for additional regulatory disclosures for

each credit rating.

Analysts

Diane F. Viacava
Lead Analyst
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Eva Bogaty
Additional Contact
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376
Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007
USA



© 2015 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED,

REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

For Japan only: MOODY'S Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MOODY'S Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and,

consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000. MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.